

# **Danger Zone: Small Cap ETF and Mutual Fund Investors**

Check out this week's **Danger Zone interview** with Chuck Jaffe of Money Life and Marketwatch.com

We've previously put the <u>Small Cap Blend style in the Danger Zone</u>, and the time has come to take a look at the entire Small Cap style. The three lowest-rated investment styles in our <u>3Q15 Style Ratings for ETFs and Mutual</u> <u>Funds</u> are, starting with the worst, Small Cap Blend, Small Cap Growth, and Small Cap Value. These three styles have made up the bottom of our quarterly rankings since 2Q14. Over this time, the Small Cap style (as measured by the Russell 2000) is up 12% while the S&P 500 is up 14%.

#### Don't Succumb To Peer Pressure: Chasing Returns Is a Bad Idea

While the return between Small Cap stocks and Large Cap stocks has not been that different for little over a year, the past few months have proved otherwise. Since October 2014, the Russell 2000 is up 16% and the S&P 500 is up 9%. This outperformance has led to record inflows into the Small Cap style. In June, the iShares Russell 2000 (IWM) had inflows of \$3.7 billion, which would make June the biggest month of inflows since September 2008. On the other hand, according to ETF.com, the SPDR S&P 500 (SPY) saw outflows of \$1.7 billion in June. Investors are chasing returns and piling into the small cap style even though most know that past performance is no indicator of future success.

#### **Overvalued, Low Quality Stocks**

Investors are ignoring the risk when they throw money into the Small Cap style. Stocks in this style have become significantly overvalued as more speculators pile on the chase.

As of July 17, 2015, the average positive price to economic book value (<u>PEBV</u>) ratio for the Russell 2000 is 3.6 compared to 2.2 for the S&P 500. This ratio implies that the market expects the profits for the Russell 2000 to increase 360% from their current levels versus just 220% for the S&P 500.

One might argue that market expectations are higher because of the greater potential of Small Cap stocks relative to Large Cap. This phenomenon may be true, but investors may not realize how much more growth expectation is already baked into Small Cap market valuations. The average growth appreciation period (<u>GAP</u>) of the Russell 2000 is 42 years compared to 20 years for S&P 500. In other words, the market expects Small Cap stocks to grow profits and earn a return on invested capital (<u>ROIC</u>) greater than weighted average cost of capital (<u>WACC</u>) for 22 years longer than for Large Cap stocks. This expectation conflicts with the fact that companies in the Russell 2000, with an average ROIC of 7%, tend to have much weaker business models and higher barriers to entry than companies in the S&P 500, with an average ROIC of 22%. This difference is why the more established and competitively proven companies have become Large Cap and the newer, unproven, or competitively challenged companies remain Small Cap.

#### **Poor Stocks Create Poor Funds**

Investors are flocking to an investment style that, apart from being overvalued, simply doesn't contain many quality stocks. As shown in Figure 1, only 9% of the stocks covered in the Russell 2000 receive an Attractive-orbetter rating compared to 20% of the S&P 500. With so few quality stocks to choose from, it's no surprise that ETFs and mutual funds are just as bad when it comes to allocating to stocks. <u>See our Predictive ETF & Mutual Fund Ratings methodology here.</u>

Page 1 of 5



#### Figure 1: Small Cap Stocks Lack Quality

|             | Very<br>Attractive | Attractive | Neutral | Dangerous | Very<br>Dangerous |
|-------------|--------------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| Large Cap   |                    |            |         |           |                   |
| # of Stocks | 27                 | 76         | 212     | 156       | 22                |
| % of Style  | 5%                 | 15%        | 43%     | 32%       | 4%                |
| Small Cap   |                    |            |         |           |                   |
| # of Stocks | 36                 | 102        | 411     | 878       | 119               |
| % of Style  | 2%                 | 7%         | 27%     | 57%       | 8%                |

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

As can be seen in Figure 2, there are only 2 (4% of style) Attractive-or-better rated Small Cap ETFs compared to 91 (78% of style) Large Cap ETFs. Additionally, Investors have failed to identify the Attractive rated Small Cap ETFs as over 90% of total net assets (TNA) are in ETFs that receive our Dangerous rating. Large cap investors have allocated assets more intelligently into the more highly-rated funds.

#### Figure 2: Small Cap ETFs Compared to Large Cap ETFs

|           | Very<br>Attractive | Attractive | Neutral | Dangerous | Very<br>Dangerous |
|-----------|--------------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| Large Cap |                    |            |         |           |                   |
| # of ETFs | 27                 | 64         | 25      | 0         | 1                 |
| % of ETFs | 23%                | 55%        | 21%     | 0%        | 1%                |
| % of TNA* | 11%                | 81%        | 8%      | 0%        | 0%                |
| Small Cap |                    |            |         |           |                   |
| # of ETFs | 0                  | 2          | 9       | 42        | 2                 |
| % of ETFs | 0%                 | 4%         | 16%     | 76%       | 4%                |
| % of TNA* | 0%                 | 0%         | 10%     | 90%       | 0%                |

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings. "TNA" stands for "Total net Assets"

The picture is no better when looking at mutual funds in both investment styles. As seen in Figure 3, there are only 18 (1% of style) Attractive-or-better rated Small Cap mutual funds compared to 1375 (59% of style) Large Cap mutual funds. As we saw with ETFs, investors have put majority (71% of TNA) of their money into Small Cap fund with a Dangerous-or-worse rating. Large cap investors have allocated assets more intelligently into the more highly-rated funds.

#### Figure 3: Small Cap MFs Compared to Large Cap MFs

|           | Very<br>Attractive | Attractive | Neutral | Dangerous | Very<br>Dangerous |
|-----------|--------------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| Large Cap |                    |            |         |           |                   |
| # of MFs  | 539                | 836        | 830     | 72        | 23                |
| % of MFs  | 23%                | 36%        | 36%     | 3%        | 1%                |
| % of TNA* | 27%                | 33%        | 38%     | 1%        | 0%                |
| Small Cap |                    |            |         |           |                   |
| # of MFs  | 0                  | 18         | 339     | 634       | 409               |
| % of MFs  | 0%                 | 1%         | 24%     | 45%       | 29%               |
| % of TNA* | 0%                 | 1%         | 28%     | 55%       | 16%               |

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings. "TNA" stands for "Total net Assets"



#### High Costs Only Make Matters Worse

With such poor stock picking on the part of ETF and mutual fund managers in the Small Cap style, investors should not be paying a premium but unfortunately they are when investing in this style. The average total annual costs of ETFs and mutual funds across all styles is 1.9%, while the average for the Small Cap style is actually higher at 2.2%.

#### Bright Spots to Be Found

Despite the poor stocks, ETFs, and mutual funds in the Small Cap style, there are still quality investing opportunities available. Royce Special Equity Fund (RSEFX) is the top rated Small Cap Value mutual fund and earns our Attractive rating. It earns this rating by allocating over 60% of assets to Neutral-or-better rated stocks (compared to 31% Neutral-or-better in the benchmark IWM) and charging below average total annual costs of 1.66%.

Janus Investment Perkins Small Cap Value Fund (JDSNX) is another one of the top rated Small Cap Value mutual funds and earns our Attractive rating. JDSNX allocates over 58% of assets to Attractive-or-better rated stocks and charges total annual costs of only 0.95%.

For more details on which funds rank the best and worst in large cap and small cap styles, see our <u>individual</u> reports on those styles. Last quarter's reports on each style are <u>here</u>.

#### The Worst Offenders of the Style

The following funds are the three lowest rated ETFs or mutual funds across the Small Cap investment style.

- 1. AllianzGI Ultra Micro Cap Fund (GUCAX) 53% of assets allocated to Dangerous-or-worse rated stocks and total annual costs of 5.11%.
- 2. Alpine Series small Cap Fund (ADIAX) 58% of assets allocated to Dangerous-or-worse rated stocks and total annual costs of 5.50%.
- 3. Investment Managers Chartwell Small Cap Value Fund (CWSVX) 62% of assets allocated to Dangerous-or-worse stocks and total annual costs of 5.22%.

Disclosure: David Trainer and Kyle Guske II receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, style, or theme.



## New Constructs<sup>®</sup> – Profile

## How New Constructs Creates Value for Clients

- 1. **Superior Recommendations** Our <u>stock picks</u> consistently outperform. See our track record in our <u>stock-picking accolades</u> and <u>Proof Is In Performance</u> reports.
- 2. **More Accurate Research** Our <u>patented Research Platform</u> for <u>reversing accounting</u> <u>distortions</u> and <u>discounted cash flow analysis</u> leverages better data to deliver smarter research.
- Time Savings We check the fine print in thousands of corporate filings so you don't have to. As reported by <u>Barron's</u>, our expertise in analyzing SEC filings delivers <u>Hidden Gems and Red</u> <u>Flags</u> that drive long-term stock-picking success.
- Transparency We are proud to share the results of our analysis of over 50,000 10Ks. See the <u>Corporate Disclosure Transgressions</u> report we provided the SEC. Our reports detail all data and assumptions. Company Models enable users to change them.
- Objectivity New Constructs is an independent research firm, not tied to Wall Street or investment banking services. Our models are driven by comprehensive high-quality data not stories. See our <u>presentation to the Senate Banking Committee</u>, the SEC and many others in DC.

## **Our Philosophy About Research**

Accounting data is not designed for equity investors, but for debt investors. <u>Accounting data must be</u> <u>translated into economic earnings</u> to understand the profitability and valuation relevant to equity investors. Respected investors (e.g. Adam Smith, Warren Buffett and Ben Graham) have repeatedly emphasized that accounting results should not be used to value stocks. <u>Economic earnings</u> are what matter because they are:

- 1. Based on the complete set of financial information available.
- 2. Standard for all companies.
- 3. A more accurate representation of the true underlying cash flows of the business.

## Additional Information

Incorporated in July 2002, <u>New Constructs</u> is an independent publisher of investment research that provides clients with consulting and research services. We specialize in quality-of-earnings, forensic accounting and discounted cash flow valuation analyses for all U.S. public companies. We translate accounting data from 10Ks into economic financial statements, i.e. <u>NOPAT</u>, <u>Invested Capital</u>, and <u>WACC</u>, to create <u>economic earnings models</u>, which are necessary to understand the true profitability and valuation of companies. Visit the <u>Free Archive</u> to download samples of our research. New Constructs is a <u>BBB accredited</u> business and a member of the <u>Investorside Research Association</u>.



## **DISCLOSURES**

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first 15 days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

New Constructs is affiliated with Novo Capital Management, LLC, the general partner of a hedge fund. At any particular time, New Constructs' research recommendations may not coincide with the hedge fund's holdings. However, in no event will the hedge fund receive any research information or recommendations in advance of the information that New Constructs provides to its other clients.

## **DISCLAIMERS**

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs.

Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.