

Top Stocks & Model Portfolios From 2016

Our [Long Idea](#) reports aim to identify those firms that the market has overlooked and that when analyzed beyond standard metrics, are significantly undervalued. These hidden gems provide excellent upside potential to any portfolio, with little downside risk.

In addition to individual Long Ideas, we provide [Model Portfolios](#) that provide well-screened lists of companies based on specific criteria such as return on invested capital (ROIC) or dividend yield. In 2016, we added two new Model Portfolios, [Exec Comp Aligned With ROIC](#) and [Safest Dividend Yields](#), to go along with our longstanding [Most Attractive](#) & [Most Dangerous](#) Stocks Model Portfolio, which has a [long history of outperformance](#).

Below presents the Long Idea highlights of 2016 and the performance of our new Model Portfolios.

Long Idea Highlights

It pays to read our Long Idea reports. In 2016, 10 out of our 15 Long Idea stock and mutual fund picks saw positive returns and 13 stocks outperformed the market (S&P 500). All told, the Long Idea stocks averaged a 9.9% return in 2016, which was slightly more than the S&P 500's return of nearly 9.5%, thereby outperforming as a long portfolio. Some of the top picks can be seen in Figure 1 below.

Figure 1: Top Stock Picks 2016

Publish Date	Company	Ticker	Performance Since Publish	S&P Since Publish Date	Outperformance Vs. S&P
6/23/16	Thor Industries	THO	51%	6%	45%
7/21/16	Morgan Stanley	MS	46%	3%	43%
3/16/16	American Express	AXP	24%	10%	14%
7/22/16	Lear Corp	LEA	17%	3%	14%
7/14/16	Southwest Airlines	LUV	15%	3%	12%
9/7/16	Ply Gem Holdings	PGEM	14%	2%	12%
10/5/16	National Research Corp	NRCIB	7%	4%	3%
9/23/16	Amerisafe Inc.	AMSF	6%	3%	2%

Sources: New Constructs, LLC

As 2016 is now in the rear view, we'd like to highlight a few of the many examples in which our Long Idea reports could have identified winning stock picks.

1. Thor Industries (THO) – [published June 23](#): up 51% vs. S&P up 6%

Unlike many firms we place in the Danger Zone, Thor has built a highly profitable business through acquisitions. Thor's NOPAT grew from \$17 million in 2009 to \$295 million over the last twelve months while its ROIC improved from 3% to 21% over the same time. An unfounded concern over the economy and consumer's willingness to purchase recreational vehicles had put a damper on shares, and THO was significantly undervalued.

However, it would not take long for the market to realize the improvement in the fundamentals of the business and reward THO for creating shareholder value. Just three months after our Long Idea report was published, THO was up 30%. Due to the acquisition of Jayco in July 2016, our rating on THO is suspended until we get more details on how this large acquisition affects the profitability of the firm. Ultimately, THO ended 2016 up 51% since we published our Long Idea report and was up 79% in 2016.

2. American Express (AXP) – [published March 16](#): Up 24% vs. S&P up 10%

We analyzed American Express as part of a case study on ROIC and its [correlation to shareholder value creation](#). In our report, we not only noted that AXP was already heavily undervalued, but also outlined specific actions AXP could take to boost its value by upwards of \$50 billion. Some of the steps included

- Improve NOPAT margin through cost controls

- Improve its best-in-class capital turnover by focusing on collecting receivables more rapidly
- Increase revenue growth through international markets

While these actions could take multiple quarters, or even years to achieve, AXP has already shown improvement in its NOPAT margin, which has grown from 17% in 2015 to 18% TTM. At the same time, American Express had to prove to investors that losing deals with Costco and JetBlue was a minor issue, and not a signal of the brand losing its cache among consumers. Effectively quelling these fears would be key to American Express' profit potential moving forward.

As the year continued, it was clear that investors agreed that AXP was undervalued, as the stock gradually rose throughout the year, and the firm beat Q3 expectations and raised full-year guidance in October 2016. Such positive developments in profits mean American Express still earns our Very Attractive rating, and, despite the price increase, still presents an excellent risk/reward profile. All told, AXP ended 2016 up 24% since our Long Idea was published.

3. Lear Corp (LEA) – [published July 22](#): Up 17% vs. S&P up 3%

Lear Corp represented a truly hidden gem in a beaten down industry. Many believed that the automobile industry had reached a top, and that automotive parts suppliers such as Lear Corp had little upside potential. Our analysis showed otherwise. Lear Corp had grown NOPAT from \$622 million in 2010 to \$1 billion in 2015. Its top-quintile ROIC of 16% was well above the 9% average of the 29 Auto Parts & Equipment companies under coverage, yet its valuation did not garner a premium as one would expect.

Adding to high profitability, Lear Corp's executive compensation plan recognizes the importance of ROIC, as two-thirds of long-term incentive awards are tied to achieving a target ROIC. Better yet, long-term awards make up 52% of CEO pay and 44% of other executives' pay. This compensation plan also led to Lear Corp landing on our initial [Exec Comp Aligned With ROIC Model Portfolio](#) in May.

To top off the already compelling thesis, LEA's valuation presented significant upside potential. Its valuation at the time implied that the company's NOPAT would permanently decline by 30% from current levels, and even its [economic book value](#), or no growth value, represented 42% upside.

Investors realized LEA's potential, as the company beat 2Q and 3Q consensus expectations and the stock steadily rose. At the end of 2016, LEA was up 17% since we published our Long Idea report and is up nearly 40% from its February 2016 lows. LEA still earns our Very Attractive rating, and is on [January's Most Attractive Stocks](#) list. The combination of excellent profitability and an undervalued share price mean LEA could be a standout holding in 2017.

Model Portfolio Highlights

As noted above, we created two new Model Portfolios in 2016.

[The Executive Compensation Aligned With ROIC Model Portfolio](#) identifies undervalued stocks that align executive compensation with ROIC. Aligning executive compensation with ROIC is a [clear driver of shareholder value creation](#). The stocks in this model portfolio are among the best at aligning executive interests with those of shareholders. Since inception, this model portfolio is up 23% while the S&P is up 9%.

The [Safest Dividend Yields Model Portfolio](#) identifies undervalued stocks that provide investors with a high quality and safe dividend yield. Not all dividends are created equal. These companies, with strong free cash flow and economic earnings, provide higher quality and safer dividend yields because we know they have the cash to support their dividend. Since inception, this model portfolio is up 8.1% while the S&P is up 5.2%.

These new Model Portfolios join our long-running [Most Attractive](#) & [Most Dangerous](#) Model Portfolios, which identify the Most Attractive and Most Dangerous stocks in the market each month. Long-term performance results for these Model Portfolios can be seen [here](#).

This article originally published [here](#) on January 10, 2017.

Disclosure: David Trainer, Kyle Guske II, and Kyle Martone receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, sector or theme.

Scottrade clients get a Free Gold Membership (\$588/yr value) as well as 50% discounts and up to 20 free trades (\$140 value) for signing up to Platinum, Pro, or Unlimited memberships. [Login or open your Scottrade account](#) & find us under Quotes & Research/Investor Tools.

New Constructs® – Profile

How New Constructs Creates Value for Clients

We find it. You benefit. Cutting-edge technology enables us to scale our [forensic accounting expertise](#) across 3000+ stocks. We shine a light in the dark corners of SEC filings so our clients can make safer, more informed decisions.

Our [stock rating methodology](#) instantly informs you of the quality of the business and the fairness of the stock's valuation. We do the diligence on earnings quality and valuation so you don't have to.

In-depth risk/reward analysis underpins our ratings. Our rating methodology grades every stock, ETF, and mutual fund according to what we believe are the 5 most important criteria for assessing the quality of an equity. Each grade reflects the balance of potential risk and reward of buying that equity. Our analysis results in the 5 ratings described below. Very Attractive and Attractive correspond to a "Buy" rating, Very Dangerous and Dangerous correspond to a "Sell" rating, while Neutral corresponds to a "Hold" rating.

QUESTION: Why shouldn't fund research be as good as stock research? Why should fund investors rely on backward-looking price trends?

ANSWER: They should not.

Don't judge a fund by its cover. Take a look inside at its holdings and understand the quality of earnings and valuation of the stocks it holds. We enable you to choose the best fund based on its stock-picking merits so you do not have to rely solely on backward-looking technical metrics.

The drivers of our [forward-looking fund ratings](#) are Portfolio Management (i.e. the aggregated ratings of its holdings) and Total Annual Costs. The Total Annual Costs Rating ([details here](#)) captures the all-in cost of being in a fund over a 3-year holding period, the average period for all fund investors.

Our Philosophy About Research

Accounting data is not designed for equity investors, but for debt investors. [Accounting data must be translated into economic earnings](#) to understand the profitability and valuation relevant to equity investors. Respected investors (e.g. Adam Smith, Warren Buffett and Ben Graham) have repeatedly emphasized that accounting results should not be used to value stocks. [Economic earnings](#) are what matter because they are:

1. Based on the complete set of financial information available.
2. Standard for all companies.
3. A more accurate representation of the true underlying cash flows of the business.

Additional Information

Incorporated in July 2002, [New Constructs](#) is an independent publisher of investment research that provides clients with consulting and research services. We specialize in quality-of-earnings, forensic accounting and discounted cash flow valuation analyses for all U.S. public companies. We translate accounting data from 10Ks into economic financial statements, i.e. [NOPAT](#), [Invested Capital](#), and [WACC](#), to create [economic earnings models](#), which are necessary to understand the true profitability and valuation of companies. Visit the [Free Archive](#) to download samples of our research. New Constructs is a [BBB accredited](#) business and a member of the [Investorside Research Association](#).

DISCLOSURES

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first 15 days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

DISCLAIMERS

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs.

Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.
