



Open Letter To The SEC: Disclosure Is Not Enough

We applaud the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for taking an active role in the debate over the Fiduciary Rule.

The SEC was founded with the goal of protecting ordinary investors.¹ It, inarguably, should play an important role in defining the relationship between advisors and investors.

Until we get more transparency on how the SEC will act, its involvement adds further uncertainty to the ultimate fate of the Fiduciary Rule. This uncertainty, at least in part, is behind many industry groups [working hard to delay](#)—or even scrap entirely—its implementation.

In our own conversations with advisors, we hear the same concerns again and again. Advisors are worried about how they will answer questions from regulators if they're asked to provide justification for their investment recommendations. With no clear guidance on how to fulfill the Fiduciary Duty of Care, advisors have no way of knowing whether they could face regulatory penalties or even [lawsuits](#).

The SEC could assuage this uncertainty by more clearly outlining its view of how advisors can fulfill the Fiduciary Duty of Care.

Calm the Markets

In its various [FAQs](#) on the Fiduciary Rule, the DOL covered key topics such as conflicts of interest, exemptions, and investor rights. However, the FAQs never addressed the Duty of Care beyond reference to the [Prudent Man standard](#), which states that fiduciaries must act with “care, skill, prudence, and diligence.” This standard is far too vague to be of any practical use. It's clear that advisors and investors need more guidance as to what constitutes a fiduciary level of care.

Additional guidance would potentially alleviate significant compliance concerns from advisors and wealth management firms. It would also reassure investors that they are getting proper value for their fees, support the integrity of the markets, and promote the development of more high-quality investment research to better serve advisors and investors.

To the extent we can be helpful, we'd like to share what we've learned on this front from our research and meetings with key constituents across the wealth management space.

Defining Diligent Research

To start, there is absolute agreement that research that meets the fiduciary standard should be 100% unconflicted and, inarguably, in the best interest of the client. To put a little more meat on that bone, we think truly diligent research should be:

- Comprehensive: Incorporate all relevant publicly available data (e.g. 10-Ks and 10-Qs), including the footnotes and MD&A.
- Objective: Clients deserve unbiased research.
- Transparent: Client should be able to see how the analysis was performed and the data behind it.
- Relevant: There must be a [tangible, quantifiable connection to stock, ETF or mutual-fund performance](#).

¹ The phrase “protection of investors” appears over 150 times in the [Securities Exchange Act of 1934](#) that established the SEC.

Disclosure Is Not a Substitute for Diligence

The current SEC standards regulating advisors focus heavily on disclosure. Most any potential conflict or deficiency in an advisor's process can be remedied by full disclosure under these guidelines.

While disclosure is important, it's not enough on its own. There was plenty of disclosure revealing the issues with CDO's in the lead up to the financial crisis, but almost no one identified the problem because the information was buried in prospectuses running in the hundreds of pages.

A disclosure-only standard encourages the same sort of issues. Investors shouldn't have to read through long and complicated filings just to confirm that their advisor's investment recommendations are based on research that meets the criteria above.

Diligent Research Is Hard to Find

We freely admit that doing proper diligence is easier said than done. If there were an obvious off-the-shelf source for diligent research, we'd likely not see the pushback we've seen for the new rule.

The SEC's timing for this new rule could not be better considering how hard it is get diligent research today. For starters, there's the declining signal/noise ratio for investment research. Between CNBC, Fox Business News, and a myriad of online and offline publications, there are more opinions and research reports/articles than ever.

Relying on [sell-side research can also be risky](#). While these reports often contain valuable information, the analysts/firms that write them may be [compromised](#) in a myriad of ways. If the SEC wants to discourage conflicts of interest (inarguably a problem for the integrity of the investing business), then sell-side research should play a less prominent role in developing and justifying investment recommendations.

Doing diligence oneself is not a reasonable solution for most investors/advisors either. Accounting rules and disclosures have become more complex and financial filings longer than ever. Who has time to read, analyze and model financial data from 10-K and 10-Q reports that are more than 200 pages on average?

Many traditional short-cuts like the [P/E ratio](#) and [ROE](#) have proven ineffective over time. Investors should also beware of research that claims to offer more sophisticated metrics as it is often plagued by inconsistencies and [flawed methodologies](#).

You Know It When You See It

The lack of a readily apparent solution should not deter the SEC's advocacy for diligent research. We support the SEC's approach to improving investment research thus far. We do not see the need for new rules or regulations, rather enforcement and application of existing rules, like the fiduciary rule, will suffice. All grandstanding aside, who can argue against the merits of more closely aligning the best interests of investors with the wealth management industry?

The SEC need not provide proscriptive details on what diligent research is. We think guidelines like what we propose above will easily suffice.

Investors recognize diligent research when they see it. There are many research firms doing good work and providing diligent research, and our free-market economy will ensure their prosperity as long as diligence remains a priority. When diligent research thrives, so does the integrity and prosperity of the markets.

This article originally published on [October 31, 2017](#).

Disclosure: David Trainer and Sam McBride receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, sector, style, or theme.

Follow us on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#), and [StockTwits](#) for real-time alerts on all our research.

New Constructs® - Research to Fulfill the Fiduciary Duty of Care

Ratings & screeners on 3000 stocks, 450 ETFs and 7000 mutual funds help you make prudent investment decisions.

New Constructs leverages the latest in machine learning to analyze structured and unstructured financial data with unrivaled speed and accuracy. The firm's forensic accounting experts work alongside engineers to develop proprietary NLP libraries and financial models. Our investment ratings are based on the best fundamental data in the business for stocks, ETFs and mutual funds. Clients include many of the top hedge funds, mutual funds and wealth management firms. David Trainer, the firm's CEO, is regularly featured in the media as a thought leader on the fiduciary duty of care, earnings quality, valuation and investment strategy.

To fulfill the Duty of Care, research should be:

1. **Comprehensive** - All relevant publicly-available (e.g. 10-Ks and 10-Qs) information has been diligently reviewed, including footnotes and the management discussion & analysis (MD&A).
2. **Un-conflicted** - Clients deserve unbiased research.
3. **Transparent** - Advisors should be able to show how the analysis was performed and the data behind it.
4. **Relevant** - Empirical evidence must provide [tangible, quantifiable correlation](#) to stock, ETF or mutual fund performance.

Value Investing 2.0: Diligence Matters: Technology is Key to Value Investing With Scale

Accounting data is only the beginning of fundamental research. It must be translated into economic earnings to truly understand profitability and valuation. This translation requires deep analysis of footnotes and the MD&A, a process that our [robo-analyst technology](#) empowers us to perform for thousands of stocks, ETFs and mutual funds.

DISCLOSURES

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first 15 days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

DISCLAIMERS

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs. Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.