

You Can Trust the Investment Process of These Managers

Many actively managed mutual funds operate with "black box" strategies that give investors little information about how the managers pick stocks. Fund documents will give vague platitudes about how the managers use "fundamental analysis" to find "highly profitable" companies that are "undervalued", but these terms lack clear definition.

This lack of clarity is a significant problem for investors. A manager that uses "fundamental research" that is based on analysis of <u>accounting earnings</u> and flawed metrics such as <u>price to earnings</u> or <u>price to book</u> is not as reliable as a manager that focuses on return on invested capital (<u>ROIC</u>)¹.

Even if managers claim they leverage ROIC in the process, investors usually have no way of verifying this claim. Leveraging our <u>Robo-Analyst technology</u>², which analyzes the holdings of all 7,764 ETFs and mutual funds under coverage, we found a mutual fund with managers that put their money where their mouth is when it comes to ROIC. Despite its high quality, investors seem to be avoiding this fund due to its three-star Morningstar rating. This mutual fund, Thrivent Large Cap Value Fund (TLVIX), is this week's Long Idea.

Holdings Analysis Reveals Quality Asset Allocation

TLVIX makes it clear in its <u>fund documents</u> that ROIC is the key metric it analyzes when picking stocks, saying:

"The management team seeks to identify companies with stable or increasing operating performance, defined by return on invested capital (ROIC)."

This focus on ROIC helps TLVIX do a better job of picking high quality holdings and avoiding risky stocks compared to its peers. Figure 1 shows that TLVIX allocates a higher percentage of its assets to Attractive-or-better stocks and a lower percentage to Unattractive-or-worse stocks than its benchmark, the iShares Russell 1000 Value ETF (IWD).

Figure 1: TLVIX Asset Allocation Compared to IWD



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

Per Figure 1, TLVIX allocates 30% of its assets to Attractive-or-better rated stocks compared to 27% for IWD. More impressively, it allocates more than double the amount (11% to 5%) to Very Attractive stocks. On the flip side, TLVIX allocates just 21% of its assets to Unattractive-or-worse rated stocks compared to 34% for IWD.

A big part of the difference in holdings quality comes from the superior ROIC of TLVIX's holdings, which earn an 11% ROIC on average compared to just 7% for IWD.

ROIC Drives Valuation

² Harvard Business School features the powerful impact of our research automation technology in the case <u>New Constructs: Disrupting</u> <u>Fundamental Analysis with Robo-Analysts</u>.

Page 1 of 6

Important Disclosure Information is contained on the last page of this report. The recipient of this report is directed to read these disclosures.

¹ Ernst & Young's recent white paper "Getting ROIC Right" proves the superiority of our stock research and analytics.



STOCKS PICKS AND PANS 7/11/18

TLVIX also understands that ROIC plays an important role in determining stock valuations. Our <u>regression</u> <u>analysis</u> shows that ROIC explains ~60% of the difference for S&P 500 stocks, and the causal relationship is even more significant when we look at stocks in the same sector.

Figure 2, from TLVIX's <u>promotional material</u>, shows that they embrace a similar philosophy. Rather than having a set cutoff for valuations, they understand that higher ROIC companies merit similarly higher valuations.

Figure 2: TLVIX Valuation Methodology





Management seeks opportunities in the yellow space below the fair value line, while other value managers may only focus on low valuations below the dotted line.

Charts are for informational purposes only and do not reflect the performance of any specific fund or security.

Sources: Thrivent Mutual Funds

Regular readers will find Figure 2 familiar, as it looks very similar to the charts we use to analyze valuation in our Long Idea and Danger Zone articles. This framework is a central element in <u>ROIC: The Paradigm For Linking</u> Corporate Performance To Valuation.

Sound Methodology Leads to Quality Holdings

What a fund manager says about their methodology is important, but what really matters is whether or not that methodology leads them to pick high-quality stocks. As our analysis above shows, TLVIX does allocate more heavily towards high-quality holdings.

We can see how TLVIX's methodology leads it to pick high-quality stocks by looking at its top holding, Cisco Systems (CSCO), which accounts for ~5% of its portfolio.

CSCO is a stock that many value investors might screen out from the start due to its P/B of 4.3, which is above the 3.4 P/B of the S&P 500. However, as Figure 2 shows, higher ROIC stocks deserve higher valuations, and CSCO's 15% ROIC puts it in the top quintile of all 2,800+ companies under our coverage.

Figure 3 compares CSCO to the peer group listed in its <u>proxy statement</u>³ on the basis of ROIC and enterprise value/invested capital (a cleaner version of price to book). Essentially, it recreates the chart from Figure 2, and shows that CSCO lies in the yellow area of opportunity below the line.

³ Excluding outlier Apple (AAPL)



Figure 3: ROIC Explains 86% of Valuation for CSCO Peers



Regression Analysis Shows CSCO Is Undervalued

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

If the stock were to trade at parity with its peer group, it would be worth \$53/share – a 25% upside to the current stock price. Despite looking expensive by some traditional valuation metrics, CSCO is actually significantly undervalued.

CSCO is not the only stock where TLVIX takes advantage of this disconnect. Figure 3 shows that Oracle (ORCL) and Microsoft (MSFT) also sit below the trendline (just barely in MSFT's case). Not coincidentally, both of these stocks are in TLVIX's top-10 holdings.

By utilizing ROIC as part of its valuation methodology, TLVIX is able to identify highly profitable companies trading at a discount to their fair value.

Low Costs Benefit Investors

Not only does TLVIX offer high-quality stock selection, it does so at a reasonable price. The fund's <u>total annual</u> <u>costs</u> of 0.62% make it the 47th cheapest out of 940 Large Cap Value ETFs and mutual funds that we cover. Its costs are about half of the cap-weighted average of 1.23% for other funds in its style.

Backward-Looking Research Misses This Fund

Despite its low costs and high-quality holdings, TLVIX has just \$1.1 billion in assets. 481 other Large Cap Value funds have more investor money allocated to them.

As we've <u>written before</u>, investors are good at finding cheap funds, but not as good at identifying funds with highquality holdings. Most investors do not realize they can get high-quality research on fund holdings, so they continue to rely on backward-looking research.

TLVIX earns just a three-star rating from Morningstar, which means that it won't even show up on the radar of many investors. Many financial advisors won't recommend a fund to their clients if it <u>doesn't have a five-star</u> rating.

While Morningstar ratings are a useful way to track a fund manager's performance, they shouldn't be the final say on fund selection. Research from the <u>Wall Street Journal</u> shows that funds with different star ratings tend to converge over the long-term, a fact that Morningstar acknowledges in the article, saying:

"The star rating works well when it's used as intended: as a first-stage screen that helps identify lower-cost, lower-risk funds with good long-term performance. It is not meant to be used in isolation or as a predictive measure. Reversion to the mean is a powerful force that can affect any investment vehicle."



STOCKS PICKS AND PANS 7/11/18

Combining holdings-based analysis with performance measures can help give investors a more complete picture. They can see which high-flying funds may be due for a crash, and which funds with mediocre performance – such as TLVIX – may have high-guality holdings and are due to soar.

Investors deserve comprehensive fund research that takes into account all aspects of a fund's history, strategy, costs, and holdings. Advisors that just steer investors to five-star funds without performing further diligence are not fulfilling their Fiduciary Duty of Care.

This article originally published on <u>July 11, 2018</u>.

Disclosure: David Trainer, Kyle Guske II, and Sam McBride receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, style, or theme.

Follow us on <u>Twitter</u>, <u>Facebook</u>, <u>LinkedIn</u>, and <u>StockTwits</u> for real-time alerts on all our research.



New Constructs[®] - Research to Fulfill the Fiduciary Duty of Care

Ratings & screeners on 3000 stocks, 450 ETFs and 7000 mutual funds help you make prudent investment decisions.

New Constructs leverages the latest in machine learning to analyze structured and unstructured financial data with unrivaled speed and accuracy. The firm's forensic accounting experts work alongside engineers to develop proprietary NLP libraries and financial models. Our investment ratings are based on the best fundamental data in the business for stocks, ETFs and mutual funds. Clients include many of the top hedge funds, mutual funds and wealth management firms. David Trainer, the firm's CEO, is regularly featured in the media as a thought leader on the fiduciary duty of care, earnings quality, valuation and investment strategy.

To fulfill the Duty of Care, research should be:

- 1. **Comprehensive** All relevant publicly-available (e.g. 10-Ks and 10-Qs) information has been diligently reviewed, including footnotes and the management discussion & analysis (MD&A).
- 2. **Un-conflicted** Clients deserve unbiased research.
- 3. **Transparent** Advisors should be able to show how the analysis was performed and the data behind it.
- 4. **Relevant** Empirical evidence must provide <u>tangible, quantifiable correlation</u> to stock, ETF or mutual fund performance.

Value Investing 2.0: Diligence Matters: Technology is Key to Value Investing With Scale

Accounting data is only the beginning of fundamental research. It must be translated into economic earnings to truly understand profitability and valuation. This translation requires deep analysis of footnotes and the MD&A, a process that our <u>robo-analyst technology</u> empowers us to perform for thousands of stocks, ETFs and mutual funds.



DISCLOSURES

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first 15 days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

DISCLAIMERS

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analytics who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs. Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.