



## How to Avoid the Worst Sector ETFs

Question: Why are there so many ETFs?

Answer: ETF providers tend to make lots of money on each ETF so they create more products to sell.

Get the best fundamental research

The large number of ETFs has little to do with serving your best interests. Below are three red flags you can use to avoid the worst ETFs:

### 1. Inadequate Liquidity

This issue is the easiest to avoid, and our advice is simple. Avoid all ETFs with less than \$100 million in assets. Low levels of liquidity can lead to a discrepancy between the price of the ETF and the underlying value of the securities it holds. Plus, low asset levels tend to mean lower volume in the ETF and larger bid-ask spreads.

### 2. High Fees

ETFs should be cheap, but not all of them are. The first step here is to know what is cheap and expensive.

To ensure you are paying average or below average fees, invest only in ETFs with [total annual costs](#) below 0.48%, which is the average total annual costs of the 232 U.S. equity Sector ETFs we cover. The weighted average is lower at 0.26%, which highlights how investors tend to put their [money in ETFs with low fees](#).

Figure 1 shows Invesco KBW High Dividend Yield Financial ETF (KBWD) is the most expensive sector ETF and Schwab U.S. REIT ETF (SCHH) is the least expensive. Invesco provides one of the most expensive ETFs while Fidelity ETFs are among the cheapest.

Figure 1: 5 Most and Least Expensive Sector ETFs

Ticker	Name	Sector	Total Annual Cost
<b>Most Expensive</b>			
KBWD	Invesco KBW High Dividend Yield Financial ETF	Financials	2.73%
HECO	Strategy Shares EcoLogical Strategy ETF	Industrials	1.09%
KOIN	Innovation Shares NextGen Protocol ETF	Technology	1.06%
UTES	ETFis Series Virtus Reaves Utilities ETF	Utilities	1.06%
FNG	AdvisorShares New Tech and Media ETF	Technology	0.95%
<b>Least Expensive</b>			
SCHH	Schwab U.S. REIT ETF	Real Estate	0.08%
USRT	iShares Core U.S. REIT ETF	Real Estate	0.09%
FNCL	Fidelity MSCI Financials Index ETF	Financials	0.09%
FSTA	Fidelity MSCI Consumer Staples Index ETF	Consumer Non-cyclicals	0.09%
FCOM	Fidelity MSCI Communication Services Index ETF	Telecom Services	0.09%

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

Investors need not pay high fees for quality holdings.<sup>1</sup> Fidelity MSCI Financials Index ETF (FNCL) is the best ranked sector ETF in Figure 1. FNCL's Neutral [Portfolio Management rating](#) and 0.09% total annual cost earns it

<sup>1</sup> Ernst & Young's recent white paper "[Getting ROIC Right](#)" proves the superiority of our holdings research and analytics.



a Very Attractive rating.<sup>2</sup> iShares MSCI Global Metals & Mining Producers ETF (PICK) is the best ranked sector ETF overall. PICK's Attractive Portfolio Management rating and 0.43% total annual cost also earns it a Very Attractive rating.

On the other hand, Schwab U.S. REIT ETF (SCHH) holds poor stocks and earns our Unattractive rating, yet has low total annual costs of 0.08%. No matter how cheap an ETF, if it holds bad stocks, its performance will be bad. The quality of an ETFs holdings matters more than its price.

### 3. Poor Holdings

Avoiding poor holdings is by far the hardest part of avoiding bad ETFs, but it is also the most important because an ETF's performance is determined more by its holdings than its costs. Figure 2 shows the ETFs within each sector with the worst holdings or [portfolio management ratings](#).

**Figure 2: Sector ETFs with the Worst Holdings**

Ticker	Name	Sector	Portfolio Management Rating
XLB	State Street SPDR Materials Select Sector Fund	Basic Materials	Unattractive
PEZ	Invesco DWA Consumer Cyclical Momentum	Consumer Cyclical	Unattractive
PBJ	Invesco Dynamic Food & Beverage ETF	Consumer Non-cyclical	Unattractive
OIH	VanEck Vectors Oil Services ETF	Energy	Unattractive
KBWD	Invesco KBW High Dividend Yield Financial ETF	Financials	Unattractive
FBT	First Trust NYSE Arca Biotechnology Index Fund	Healthcare	Unattractive
EVX	VanEck Vectors Environmental Services ETF	Industrials	Unattractive
SRVR	Pacer Benchmark Data & Infrastructure Real Estate	Real Estate	Unattractive
PSCT	Invesco S&P Small Cap Information Technology	Technology	Unattractive
XTL	State Street SPDR S&P Telecom ETF	Telecom Services	Unattractive
RYU	Invesco S&P 500 Equal Weight Utilities ETF	Utilities	Very Unattractive

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

Invesco appears more often than any other provider in Figure 2, which means that they offer the most ETFs with the worst holdings.

VanEck Vectors Oil Services ETF (OIH) is the worst rated ETF in Figure 2. Pacer Benchmark Data & Infrastructure Real Estate ETF (SRVR), First Trust NYSE Arca Biotechnology Index Fund (FBT) and VanEck Vectors Environmental Services ETF (EVX) also earn a Very Unattractive [predictive overall rating](#), which means not only do they hold poor stocks, they charge high total annual costs.

Our [overall ratings on ETFs](#) are on our [stock ratings](#) of their holdings and the total annual costs of investing in the ETF.

### The Danger Within

Buying an ETF without analyzing its holdings is like buying a stock without analyzing its business and finances. Put another way, research on ETF holdings is necessary due diligence because an ETF's performance is only as good as its holdings' performance. Don't just take our word for it, [see what Barron's says](#) on this matter.

PERFORMANCE OF ETFs HOLDINGS = PERFORMANCE OF ETF

<sup>2</sup> Harvard Business School features the powerful impact of our research automation technology in the case [New Constructs: Disrupting Fundamental Analysis with Robo-Analysts](#).



Analyzing each holding within funds is no small task. Our [Robo-Analyst technology](#) enables us to perform this diligence with scale and provide the [research needed](#) to [fulfill the fiduciary duty of care](#). More of the biggest names in the financial industry (see [At BlackRock, Machines Are Rising Over Managers to Pick Stocks](#)) are now embracing technology to leverage machines in the investment research process. Technology may be the only solution to the dual mandate for research: cut costs and fulfill the fiduciary duty of care. Investors, clients, advisors and analysts deserve the latest in technology to get the diligence required to make prudent investment decisions.

*This article originally published on [May 1, 2019](#).*

*Disclosure: David Trainer and Kyle Guske II receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, sector, or theme.*

*Follow us on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#), and [StockTwits](#) for real-time alerts on all our research.*



## ***New Constructs® - Research to Fulfill the Fiduciary Duty of Care***

---

Ratings & screeners on 3000 stocks, 450 ETFs and 7000 mutual funds help you make prudent investment decisions.

New Constructs leverages the latest in machine learning to analyze structured and unstructured financial data with unrivaled speed and accuracy. The firm's forensic accounting experts work alongside engineers to develop proprietary NLP libraries and financial models. Our investment ratings are based on the best fundamental data in the business for stocks, ETFs and mutual funds. Clients include many of the top hedge funds, mutual funds and wealth management firms. David Trainer, the firm's CEO, is regularly featured in the media as a thought leader on the fiduciary duty of care, earnings quality, valuation and investment strategy.

### ***To fulfill the Duty of Care, research should be:***

1. **Comprehensive** - All relevant publicly-available (e.g. 10-Ks and 10-Qs) information has been diligently reviewed, including footnotes and the management discussion & analysis (MD&A).
2. **Un-conflicted** - Clients deserve unbiased research.
3. **Transparent** - Advisors should be able to show how the analysis was performed and the data behind it.
4. **Relevant** - Empirical evidence must provide [tangible, quantifiable correlation](#) to stock, ETF or mutual fund performance.

### ***Value Investing 2.0: Diligence Matters: Technology is Key to Value Investing With Scale***

Accounting data is only the beginning of fundamental research. It must be translated into economic earnings to truly understand profitability and valuation. This translation requires deep analysis of footnotes and the MD&A, a process that our [robo-analyst technology](#) empowers us to perform for thousands of stocks, ETFs and mutual funds.



## DISCLOSURES

---

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first 15 days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

## DISCLAIMERS

---

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs. Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.