



How Our Reverse DCF Model Works

We make discounted cash flow (“DCF”) models useful and democratize the benefits of analyzing the future cash flow expectations baked into stock prices.

Bad DCF models are misleading. We won’t argue that, but DCF analysis remains extremely helpful when used to reverse engineer what companies have to do to justify their stock prices, aka [expectations investing](#).

The right way to use DCF models is not try to predict the future, but to quantify the future that the stock price is predicting. We will explain further, but you can get numerous real-world examples of how we use our reverse DCF to help investors determine when to buy or sell in all of our [Danger Zone](#) and [Long Idea](#) reports.


Our DCF model differs from other DCF models in two ways:

1. Unbiased by design – our DCF models tell us what the market is predicting.
2. Better inputs – our DCF models use [cleaner data](#), not analyst earnings or other flawed metrics.

First Principles: What Is A Reverse DCF Model?

Our DCF starts with the principle that stocks can be valued in the same way as bonds. As shown in Figure 1, the drivers of future cash flow between the two types of securities are analogous. The only difference is that the future cash flows for bonds are contractually determined while the future cash flows for stocks are undetermined. However, as long as one accepts the premise that the value of an asset equals the present value of future cash flows, then it follows that reverse DCF models can quantify the future cash flows required to justify stock prices.


Figure 1: The Basic Valuation Recipe: Same For Stocks And Bonds



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

In Figure 2, we categorize the drivers of a stock’s implied future cash flows into more intuitive terms.

Figure 2: Simpler Terms for Measuring Cash Flows



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.




We think it is easier to think about the future cash flows implied by stocks prices in these terms because they capture the core drivers of business success:

1. Revenue Growth: how fast will the business grow?
2. [ROIC – WACC](#): how profitable with the business be?
3. [Growth Appreciation Period](#) (GAP): for how long will the business grow profits?

In Figure 3, we match the more intuitive drivers for equity cash flows with the drivers of bonds. With this understanding, we can focus on using our reverse DCF to get the answers to these questions from Mr. Market.

Figure 3: The New Constructs Valuation Recipe: Same For Stocks And Bonds



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

How the Reverse DCF Model Math Works

Most of the time, we forecast Revenue Growth and ROIC – WACC over a very long forecast horizon, not just five or 10 years (more details below). Then, we solve for the Growth Appreciation Period (GAP) needed for the DCF model to produce a stock price equal to the current stock price. In other words, we provide forecasts for three of the four variables in the equation and solve for the 4th variable. Users of our model can choose to solve for any of the four variables by entering forecasts for the other three. See the Appendix for details on the specific forecast inputs we use in our DCF models to forecast revenue, ROIC and WACC.

Our Reverse DCF Model Is Also “Dynamic”

Our DCF models do not rely on static forecast horizons such as five or ten years as do traditional DCF models. Our models are dynamic, which means we calculate values for the stock based on multiple forecast horizons. The key to this approach is a terminal value in each forecast horizon that assumes zero growth (e.g. NOPAT/WACC not WACC-g) after the forecast horizon. Rather than trying to capture all the future growth in cash flows in a static time frame (e.g. five years), our models calculate the value attributable to shareholders over 100 forecast periods. For more details, see our webinar on [How a Reverse Discounted Cash Flow \(DCF\) Model Works](#).

Examples of Insights from Our Reverse DCF Models

Next, we share excerpts from our reports to show exactly how our models give investors important fundamental insight into the future cash flow expectations embedded in stock prices and how much risk they take owning stocks at certain prices.

1. From our April 5, 2021 report [Saving Investors from Meme Stocks: GameStop \(GME\)](#):

“Crazy” Valuation at \$347/share Explained: Implies More Revenue Than Macy’s


We use our reverse discounted cash flow (DCF) to show just how crazy the expectations implied by the peak valuation of GameStop. To justify \$347/share, it shows that GameStop must:

- improve its [profit margin](#) to 5.5% (10-yr avg from 2010-19 is 3.9% & all-time high was 4.8% in 2008) and
- grow revenue by 17% compounded annually through 2030 (above [projected](#) video game industry CAGR of 13% through 2027)

In this [scenario](#), GameStop earns nearly \$21 billion in revenue in 2030 or more than the trailing-twelve-months (TTM) revenue of Macy’s (M), [AutoZone](#) (AZO), and Chewy Inc. (CHWY). For reference, GameStop’s revenue fell by 3% compounded annually from 2009 to 2019.



Figure 4: GameStop's Historical Revenue vs. DCF Implied Revenue: Scenario 1



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

This analysis provides clear, objective perspective on just how ridiculous GME's valuation was during the meme stock frenzy.

2. From our March 10, 2021 report [The Real Winner of the Fintech Micro-Bubble:](#)

Market Expectations Imply Square Wins the "Fintech Revolution" & JPMorgan Chase Will Lose

Below, we use our [reverse discounted cash flow \(DCF\) model](#) to highlight the disconnect in the future revenue and profit growth expectations baked into JPMorgan Chase's and Square's current stock prices.

To justify JPMorgan Chase's current price of \$152/share, we show that the market assumes:

- NOPAT margin falls to 26% (equal to its five-year average versus 31% TTM) and
- revenue falls 1% compounded annually for the next decade, which assumes revenue falls 3% in 2021, grows 3% in 2022 (equal to consensus estimates) and falls 1.5% a year each year thereafter.

In this scenario, JPMorgan Chase's revenue ten years from now is \$114.1 billion, or 12% below its 2020 revenue and nearly equal to its 2017 revenue. [See the math behind this reverse DCF scenario.](#)


On the other hand, to justify its current price of \$225/share, Square must:

- immediately achieve a NOPAT margin of 5% (above its all-time high margin of 3.5%, compared to -1% TTM) and
- grow revenue by 37% compounded annually over the next ten years. For reference, average consensus estimates expect Square's revenue to grow 23% compounded annually from 2021 to 2025.

Figure 5 compares the historical and implied future revenues implied by the current stock prices of JPMorgan Chase and Square.



Figure 5: Share Prices Imply Square's Revenue Overtakes JP Morgan Chase's



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

In this scenario, Square's revenue ten years from now is \$213 billion, or 65% greater than JPMorgan Chase's 2020 revenue and nearly 10-times greater than PayPal's (PYPL) 2020 revenue. [See the math behind this reverse DCF scenario.](#)

This scenario implies that Square's GPV in 2030 will equal \$7.4 trillion, or 59% of all U.S. consumer spending in 2020¹.

Which stock do you think is attractively priced?

3. From our April 9, 2021 report [Despite Record 1Q Results, Coinbase's Valuation Remains Ridiculous:](#)

Coinbase Is Priced to Be the Largest Exchange (by Revenue) in the World

When we use our [reverse discounted cash flow \(DCF\) model](#) to analyze the future cash flow expectations baked into Coinbase's expected valuation, we find that shares are priced for beyond perfect execution.

In order to justify its expected \$100 billion valuation, Coinbase must:

- maintain a 25% NOPAT margin (above Nasdaq's 19% but below Intercontinental Exchanges' 31% in 2020) and
- grow revenue by 50% compounded annually (well above Nasdaq's highest seven-year revenue CAGR [2004-2011] of 30%) for the next seven years. [See the math behind this reverse DCF scenario.](#)


In this scenario, Coinbase would earn \$21.3 billion in revenue by 2027, which would be 1.5x Intercontinental Exchange and Nasdaq's combined 2020 revenue and nearly double Charles Schwab's 2020 revenue.

Figure 6 compares the firm's implied future revenue in this scenario to its historical revenue and the 2020 revenues of Intercontinental Exchange and Nasdaq combined as well as Schwab's (SCHW) revenue in 2020.

¹ In 4Q20, Square generated \$929 million in transaction-based fees from \$30.0 billion of GPV. We derive Square's implied 2030 GPV of \$7.4 trillion by dividing the firm's implied 2030 revenue by its 4Q20 transaction-based fee rate of 2.9%. [U.S. consumer spending](#) in 2020 is estimated at \$12.5 trillion.



Figure 6: Expected Valuation Implies Greater Revenue Than ICE & NDAQ Combined



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

If Coinbase maintained its fees at 0.46% of trading volume (as outlined above), this scenario implies that trading volume on Coinbase's platform would be \$4.6 trillion by 2027, which would equal 97% of the total [cryptocurrency trading volume](#) in 2020.

The insights from our reverse DCF position investors to understand just how much risk they are taking when they buy stocks at certain levels. We are not saying that fundamentals should be 100% of your investing process. We only aim to add insight into the fundamental risk of owning stocks at different prices.

This article originally published on [April 12, 2021](#).

Disclosure: David Trainer, Kyle Guske II, and Matt Shuler receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, style, or theme.

Follow us on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#), and [StockTwits](#) for real-time alerts on all our research.



Appendix: The Inputs for Our “Dynamic” DCF Model

Below are the forecasting inputs for our DCF models along with explanations on how we derive our Default estimates for each input. Note that all of our models enable clients to change these inputs and create (and compare) as many future cash flow scenarios as they wish. See our tutorial on our [Forecast page](#) for more details on how to create and modify forecasts.

Forecast Driver #1: Revenue Growth

Revenue growth is the easiest of all the estimates. We like to base our Default forecasts on consensus revenue estimates for as many years as we can get them. Then, we tend to converge back to historical averages. From EY51-100, most of the time we assume a growth rate of 3% for all companies. We converge most companies to 6% over the long term because that is roughly the long-term geometric GDP growth rate since 1929.

These assumptions are only a baseline and can be adjusted as needed. Forecasted revenue growth should not be 6% if a company has never generated 6% growth, and our analysts make this adjustment in our Default forecasts.

Forecast Driver #2: Net Operating Profit Before Tax (NOPBT) Margin

Margin forecasts tend to be based on historical averages where they make sense. We prefer not to forecast significant deviations from what the company has done in the past. Also, the Default NOPBT margin forecasts tend not to change across the entire forecast period. This consistency simplifies measurement of the impact of differing margin level assumptions.

We cannot use consensus values for our margin forecasts because our margin calculations take into account a great deal more data than is used in most analysts' models.

Forecast Driver #3: Cash Operating Tax Rate

Tax rate forecasts tend to be based on historical averages also. Like the margin forecast, tax rate forecasts tend not to change across the entire forecast period in our Default scenarios. By applying the cash operating tax rate to NOPBT, we get Net Operating Profit After Tax (NOPAT).

Forecast Driver #4: Changes in Invested Capital

To measure free cash flow, we must forecast how Invested Capital changes. We break out the Invested Capital forecasts into changes for net working capital and fixed assets. By forecasting the change in cash operating profits and the change in invested capital, we get both free cash flow and Return On Invested Capital (ROIC).

Forecast Driver #5: Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)

The inputs above create a future stream of cash flows that we must discount to their present to account for the opportunity cost of capital. We use the weighted-average cost of capital (WACC) to discount cash flows in each company model. Our WACC is based on standard formulas for calculating the cost of debt (risk-free rate plus a spread based on credit rating) and equity (CAPM). More details on WACC are [here](#).



It's Official: We Offer the Best Fundamental Data in the World

Many firms claim their research is superior, but none of them can prove it with independent studies from highly-respected institutions as we can. Three different papers from both the public and private sectors show:

1. Legacy fundamental datasets suffer from significant inaccuracies, omissions and biases.
2. Only our “novel database” enables investors to overcome these flaws and apply [reliable](#) fundamental data in their research.
3. Our proprietary measures of [Core Earnings](#) and [Earnings Distortion](#) materially improve stock picking and forecasting of profits.

Best Fundamental Data in the World

Forthcoming in [The Journal of Financial Economics](#), a top peer-reviewed journal, [Core Earnings: New Data & Evidence](#) proves our Robo-Analyst technology overcomes material shortcomings in legacy firms’ data collection processes to provide superior [fundamental data](#), [earnings](#) models, and [research](#). More [details](#).

Key quotes from the paper:

- “[New Constructs’] *Total Adjustments* differs significantly from the items identified and excluded from Compustat’s adjusted earnings measures. For example... 50% to 70% of the variation in *Total Adjustments* is not explained by *S&P Global’s (SPGI) Adjustments* individually.” – pp. 14, 1st para.
- “A final source of differences [between New Constructs’ and S&P Global’s data] is due to data collection oversights...we identified cases where Compustat did not collect information relating to firms’ income that is useful in assessing core earnings.” – pp. 16, 2nd para.

Superior Models

A top accounting firm features the superiority of our ROIC, NOPAT and Invested Capital research to Capital IQ & Bloomberg’s in [Getting ROIC Right](#). See the [Appendix](#) for direct comparison details.

Key quotes from the paper:

- “...an accurate calculation of ROIC requires more diligence than often occurs in some of the common, off-the-shelf ROIC calculations. Only by scouring the footnotes and the MD&A [as New Constructs does] can investors get an accurate calculation of ROIC.” – pp. 8, 5th para.
- “The majority of the difference...comes from New Constructs’ machine learning approach, which leverages technology to calculate ROIC by applying accounting adjustments that may be buried deeply in the footnotes across thousands of companies.” – pp. 4, 2nd para.

Superior Stock Ratings

Robo-Analysts’ stock ratings outperform those from human analysts as shown in this [paper](#) from Indiana’s Kelley School of Business. Bloomberg features the paper [here](#).

Key quotes from the paper:

- “the portfolios formed following the buy recommendations of Robo-Analysts earn abnormal returns that are statistically and economically significant.” – pp. 6, 3rd para.
- “Our results ultimately suggest that Robo-Analysts are a valuable, alternative information intermediary to traditional sell-side analysts.” – pp. 20, 3rd para.

Our mission is to provide the best fundamental analysis of public and private businesses in the world and make it affordable for all investors, not just Wall Street insiders.

We believe every investor deserves to know the whole truth about the profitability and valuation of any company they consider for investment. More details on our cutting-edge technology and how we use it are [here](#).



DISCLOSURES

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first two days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

DISCLAIMERS

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs. Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.