



S&P 500 Companies With the Most Misleading Street Earnings


In theory, Wall Street analysts create Street Earnings to adjust GAAP Earnings for unusual gains and losses with the goal of focusing on the true earnings of companies. In reality, Street Earnings rarely, if ever, fully capture these unusual items, which have a very material impact.

As a result, the record [earnings beats](#) for 1Q21 are misleading. We expect the same will be true for 2Q21.

Per Figure 1 (from our review of [1Q21 Core Earnings for the S&P 500](#)), Operating Earnings [from S&P Global](#) (SPGI) exaggerated the drop and are overstating the rebound in S&P 500 earnings over the last 18 months. The same is true for [I/B/E/S Street Earnings](#) for individual companies.

Most investors are not aware that SPGI Operating Earnings and Street Earnings suffer from [significant flaws](#) when compared to [Core Earnings](#)¹, a better measure of earnings because they exclude material unusual gains/losses missed by Wall Street. Figure 2 lists the companies whose Street Earnings are most misleading.

Figure 1: Core Earnings vs. SPGI Operating Earnings: December 2019 to Present (through 5/19/21²)



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

Learn more about the best fundamental research

Ten S&P 500 Companies With the Most Under/Overstated Street Earnings

Figure 2 shows the S&P 500 companies with the most over/understated Street Earnings (in dollar per share) compared to Core Earnings over the trailing twelve months (TTM) through 1Q21³. Street Distortion shows the difference between Core Earnings per share and Street Earnings per share.

¹As proven in [Core Earnings: New Data & Evidence](#), a paper in [The Journal of Financial Economics](#), only Core Earnings enable investors to overcome the flaws in legacy fundamental data and research.

² The earliest date that the 1Q21 10-Qs for all S&P 500 constituents were available.

³ The most recent Core Earnings and Street Earnings values are based on the latest audited financial data from calendar 1Q21 10-Qs.

**Figure 2: S&P 500 Companies with Most Under/Overstated Street Earnings: TTM as of 1Q21**

Ticker	Name	Core EPS	Street EPS	Street Distortion
Most Understated Street Earnings (\$ per share)				
NVR	NVR Inc.	\$261.74	\$247.75	(\$13.99)
ALL	Allstate Corp	\$25.02	\$17.38	(\$7.64)
CB	Chubb Limited	\$13.60	\$7.14	(\$6.46)
HUM	Humana Inc.	\$27.08	\$21.01	(\$6.07)
WYNN	Wynn Resorts, Ltd	(\$13.97)	(\$18.04)	(\$4.07)
Most Overstated Street Earnings (\$ per share)⁴				
AMP	Ameriprise Financial	(\$0.17)	\$16.87	\$17.04
AVGO	Broadcom Ltd	\$7.60	\$23.50	\$15.90
GOOGL	Alphabet, Inc.	\$62.67	\$75.12	\$12.45
BIIB	Biogen, Inc.	\$17.70	\$29.02	\$11.32
PRU	Prudential Financial	\$2.58	\$12.10	\$9.52

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

Below we detail the [hidden and reported](#) unusual items that aren't captured in Street Earnings that are captured in Core Earnings for NVR Inc. (NVR), Allstate (ALL), and Alphabet (GOOGL).

What Causes Differences In Earnings Measures

Many investors know that Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) contain [numerous loopholes](#) that allow companies to manipulate financial statement disclosures. Fewer investors are aware of how much unusual gains and losses, i.e. unusual items, distort Street Earnings and SPGI's Operating Earnings.

Many investors assume Street Earnings properly adjust for unusual items, but they do not.

This report details the unusual items missed by Street Earnings. Professors from Harvard Business School and MIT Sloan published similar research in [The Journal of Financial Economics](#), which concludes:

"Street Earnings adjustments are not necessarily complete or comparable across firms."
(Page 16, 3rd paragraph)


NVR's TTM 1Q21 Street Earnings Understated by \$13.99/share

The Street Distortion, or difference between NVR's Street Earnings (\$274.75/share) and Core Earnings (\$261.74/share), is -\$13.99/share. NVR's GAAP Earnings are \$261.74/share, so the [GAAP Distortion](#), or difference between reported GAAP Earnings and Core Earnings, is \$13.43/share, which is \$0.56/share less than Street Distortion. In other words, Street Distortion is worse than GAAP Distortion, and Street Earnings are more understated than GAAP Earnings.

⁴ We exclude Berkshire Hathaway (BRK.A) because the majority of distortion comes from the [well-known](#) unrealized gains on equity securities. For more details, see our recent report: [The Most Overstated & Understated Earnings in the S&P 500 Post 1Q21 Earnings](#)



Figure 3: Comparing NVR’s Core, Street, and GAAP Earnings: TTM as of 1Q21



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

Since Street Distortion and GAAP Distortion are about the same, it is clear that Street Earnings are not adding a lot of value by removing the unusual expenses that materially distort GAAP Earnings. Below, we detail the differences between Core Earnings and GAAP Earnings so readers can audit our research.

Figure 4: NVR GAAP Earnings to Core Earnings Reconciliation

	TTM (\$ per share)
GAAP Earnings	\$248.31
- Hidden Unusual Items	-\$5.51
- Reported Unusual Items	\$0.00
- Tax Distortion	-\$7.92
Core Earnings	\$261.74

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

More [details](#)⁵⁶:

Hidden Unusual Expenses, Net = -\$22 million or -\$5.51/per share

- [-\\$0.2 million](#) in the TTM for capitalized interest charged to cost of sales – Page 7 1Q21 10-Q
- [-\\$19.2 million](#) in the TTM period based on [\\$25.6 million](#) of impairment of lot deposits due to deteriorating market conditions – Page 53 2020 10-K
- [-\\$2.2 million](#) in the TTM period based on [\\$2.9 million](#) of capitalized interest charged to cost of sales – Page 54 2020 10-K

Tax Distortion = -\$31 million or -\$7.92/per share

- We remove the tax impact of unusual items on reported taxes when we calculate Core Earnings. It is important that taxes get adjusted so they are appropriate for adjusted pre-tax earnings.

⁵ While we can explicitly reconcile Core Earnings to GAAP Earnings, we cannot do the same for Street Earnings because analysts do not publicly disclose what is captured in Street Earnings.


⁶ For unusual items found only in the latest 10-K, we show the amount applied to TTM calculation and link to the disclosure in the 10-K.



Allstate's TTM 1Q21 Street Earnings Understated by \$7.64/share

The Street Distortion in Allstate's 1Q21 TTM earnings is -\$7.64/share, which is 44% less the -\$13.65/share in GAAP Distortion. Street Earnings do a better job of capturing unusual items for Allstate than NVR, but they still miss 44% of the unusual items in GAAP Earnings.

Figure 5: Comparing Allstate's Core, Street, and GAAP Earnings: TTM as of 1Q21



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

Below, we detail the differences between Core Earnings and GAAP Earnings so readers can audit our work.

Figure 6: Allstate GAAP Earnings to Core Earnings Reconciliation

TTM (\$ per share)	
GAAP Earnings	\$11.36
- Hidden Unusual Items	\$0.30
- Reported Unusual Items	-\$14.20
- Tax Distortion	\$0.25
Core Earnings	\$25.02

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

More [details](#)⁷⁸:

Hidden Unusual Gains, Net = \$92 million or \$0.30/per share

- \$92 million in the TTM period based on [\\$123 million](#) in realized capital gains – Page 42 2020 10-K

Reported Unusual Expenses Pre-Tax, Net = -\$629 million or -\$2.02/per share

- [-\\$738 million](#) for Shelter-in-Place Payback expenses – 2Q20 10-Q
- \$679 million for pension and other postretirement rereasurement gains in the TTM period, based on
 - [-\\$73 million](#) loss in 2Q20
 - [\\$71 million](#) gain in 3Q20
 - [\\$371 million](#) gain in 4Q20
 - [\\$310 million](#) gain in 1Q21
- -\$305 million in restructuring and related charges in the TTM period, based on

⁷ While we can explicitly reconcile Core Earnings to GAAP Earnings, we cannot do the same for Street Earnings because analysts do not publicly disclose what is captured in Street Earnings.

⁸ For unusual items found only in the latest 10-K, we show the amount applied to our TTM calculation and link to the disclosure in the 10-K.



- [-\\$14 million](#) in 2Q20
- [-\\$200 million](#) in 3Q20
- [-\\$40 million](#) in 4Q20
- [-\\$51 million](#) in 1Q21
- \$268 million contra adjustment for [recurring pension costs](#). These recurring expenses are reported in non-recurring line items, so we add them back and exclude them from Earnings Distortion.
- \$3 million gain in the TTM period based on a [\\$4 million](#) gain on disposition of operations – 2020 10-K

Reported Unusual Expenses After-Tax, Net = -\$3.8 billion or -\$12.18/per share

- [-\\$3.8 billion](#) loss from discontinued operations – 1Q21 10-Q

Tax Distortion = \$77 million or \$0.25/per share

- We remove the tax impact of unusual items on reported taxes when we calculate Core Earnings. It is important that taxes get adjusted so they are appropriate for adjusted pre-tax earnings.


While the NVR example shows how Street Earnings miss hidden items, our research shows Allstate’s Street Earnings fail to capture a very material amount of unusual items reported directly on the income statement.

Alphabet’s TTM 1Q21 Street Earnings Overstated by \$12.45/share

The Street Distortion in Alphabet’s 1Q21 TTM earnings is \$12.45/share, which is \$.08/share (1%) more than GAAP Distortion. Alphabet’s GAAP Earnings are \$75.04/share, so the “[GAAP Distortion](#)” is \$12.37/share, or just \$0.08/share less than the Street Distortion.

In other words, Street Distortion is actually worse than GAAP Distortion, and Street Earnings are more overstated than GAAP Earnings.

Figure 7: Comparing Alphabet’s Core, Street, and GAAP Earnings: TTM as of 1Q21



Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

Below, we detail the differences between Core Earnings and GAAP Earnings so readers can audit our work.



Figure 8: Alphabet GAAP Earnings to Core Earnings Reconciliation

	TTM (\$ per share)
GAAP Earnings	\$75.04
- Hidden Unusual Items	\$0
- Reported Unusual Items	\$14.84
- Tax Distortion	-\$2.47
Core Earnings	\$62.67

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

The majority, \$8.63/share, of the unusual income that Street Earnings fail to capture is very clearly non-core and appears below the “Income From Operations” line of Alphabet’s income statement in both the [1Q21 10-Q](#) and [2020 10-K](#).

More [details](#)⁹¹⁰:

Reported Unusual Gains, Net = \$10.2 billion or \$14.84/per share

- Each of the following is included in Other income (expense) on the income statement. We found these details in the footnotes:
 - \$7.6 billion gain on debt & equity securities in the TTM period, based on
 - [\\$1.5 billion](#) gain on equity securities in 2Q20
 - [\\$387 million](#) gain on debt securities in 2Q20
 - [\\$1.9 billion](#) gain on equity securities in 3Q20
 - [\\$116 million](#) gain on debt securities in 3Q20
 - [-\\$991 million](#) loss on equity and debt securities in 4Q20
 - [\\$4.8 billion](#) gain on equity securities in 1Q21
 - [-\\$86 million](#) loss on debt securities in 1Q21
 - -\$1.3 billion in performance fees in the TTM period, based on
 - [-\\$75 million](#) in 2Q20
 - [-\\$135 million](#) in 3Q20
 - [-\\$405 million](#) in 4Q20
 - [-\\$665 million](#) in 1Q21
 - -\$282 million in Other Expense (income) in the TTM period, based on
 - [-\\$147 million](#) expense in 2Q20
 - [-\\$88 million](#) expense in 3Q20
 - [-\\$420 million](#) expense in 4Q20
 - [\\$373 million](#) income in 1Q21
 - -\$150 million in foreign currency exchange losses in the TTM period, based on
 - [-\\$92 million](#) loss in 2Q20
 - [-\\$84 million](#) loss in 3Q20
 - [-\\$87 million](#) loss in 4Q20
 - [\\$113 million](#) gain in 1Q21
- \$4.3 billion or \$6.28/per share in the TTM period based on a [\\$4.3 billion](#) unrealized gain on equity securities – 2020 10-K

Tax Distortion = -\$1.7 billion or -\$2.47/per share

- We remove the tax impact of unusual items on reported taxes when we calculate Core Earnings. It is important that taxes get adjusted so they are appropriate for adjusted pre-tax earnings.

⁹ While we can explicitly reconcile Core Earnings to GAAP Earnings, we cannot do the same for Street Earnings because analysts do not publicly disclose what is captured in Street Earnings.

¹⁰ For unusual items found only in the latest 10-K, we show the amount applied to TTM calculation and link to the disclosure in the 10-K.



Conclusion: Core Earnings Are More Reliable

As demonstrated above, Core Earnings do a better job of excluding unusual gains and losses and provide a [more reliable](#) earnings measure. All Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP Earnings are [100% transparent](#); so users can audit and trust the research.

A lack of transparency into exactly what makes up Street Earnings and SPGI's Operating Earnings undermines investors' ability to analyze profitability. In theory, the differences between Core Earnings and GAAP Earnings should be captured in Street Earnings and SPGI's Operating Earnings. In theory, they adjust GAAP Earnings for both [reported and hidden](#) unusual items. In reality, they do not consistently adjust for unusual items.

For many investors, especially quants, the lack of consistency in what Street Earnings and SPGI's Operating Earnings capture is worse than the lack of completeness.

Check out this week's [Danger Zone interview](#) with Chuck Jaffe of [Money Life](#).

This article originally published on [June 15, 2021](#).

Disclosure: David Trainer, Kyle Guske II, Alex Sword, and Matt Shuler receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, style, or theme.

Follow us on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#), and [StockTwits](#) for real-time alerts on all our research.



It's Official: We Offer the Best Fundamental Data in the World

Many firms claim their research is superior, but none of them can prove it with independent studies from highly-respected institutions as we can. Three different papers from both the public and private sectors show:

1. Legacy fundamental datasets suffer from significant inaccuracies, omissions and biases.
2. Only our “novel database” enables investors to overcome these flaws and apply [reliable](#) fundamental data in their research.
3. Our proprietary measures of [Core Earnings](#) and [Earnings Distortion](#) materially improve stock picking and forecasting of profits.

Best Fundamental Data in the World

Forthcoming in [The Journal of Financial Economics](#), a top peer-reviewed journal, [Core Earnings: New Data & Evidence](#) proves our Robo-Analyst technology overcomes material shortcomings in legacy firms’ data collection processes to provide superior [fundamental data](#), [earnings](#) models, and [research](#). More [details](#).

Key quotes from the paper:

- “[New Constructs’] *Total Adjustments* differs significantly from the items identified and excluded from Compustat’s adjusted earnings measures. For example... 50% to 70% of the variation in *Total Adjustments* is not explained by *S&P Global’s (SPGI) Adjustments* individually.” – pp. 14, 1st para.
- “A final source of differences [between New Constructs’ and S&P Global’s data] is due to data collection oversights...we identified cases where Compustat did not collect information relating to firms’ income that is useful in assessing core earnings.” – pp. 16, 2nd para.

Superior Models

A top accounting firm features the superiority of our ROIC, NOPAT and Invested Capital research to Capital IQ & Bloomberg’s in [Getting ROIC Right](#). See the [Appendix](#) for direct comparison details.

Key quotes from the paper:

- “...an accurate calculation of ROIC requires more diligence than often occurs in some of the common, off-the-shelf ROIC calculations. Only by scouring the footnotes and the MD&A [as New Constructs does] can investors get an accurate calculation of ROIC.” – pp. 8, 5th para.
- “The majority of the difference...comes from New Constructs’ machine learning approach, which leverages technology to calculate ROIC by applying accounting adjustments that may be buried deeply in the footnotes across thousands of companies.” – pp. 4, 2nd para.

Superior Stock Ratings

Robo-Analysts’ stock ratings outperform those from human analysts as shown in this [paper](#) from Indiana’s Kelley School of Business. Bloomberg features the paper [here](#).

Key quotes from the paper:

- “the portfolios formed following the buy recommendations of Robo-Analysts earn abnormal returns that are statistically and economically significant.” – pp. 6, 3rd para.
- “Our results ultimately suggest that Robo-Analysts are a valuable, alternative information intermediary to traditional sell-side analysts.” – pp. 20, 3rd para.

Our mission is to provide the best fundamental analysis of public and private businesses in the world and make it affordable for all investors, not just Wall Street insiders.

We believe every investor deserves to know the whole truth about the profitability and valuation of any company they consider for investment. More details on our cutting-edge technology and how we use it are [here](#).



DISCLOSURES

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first two days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

DISCLAIMERS

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs. Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.